## Abstract:

## "We couldn't care less about Armington elasticities – but should we?"

This paper investigates the robustness of <u>CGE</u> models with respect to the elasticities of substitution in demand between domestically produced goods and foreign goods – the so-called <u>Armington</u> elasticities. The <u>Armington-type modeling</u> of trade is still one of the most extensively used specifications in <u>CGE modeling</u>. For a long time the choice of the respective elasticities of substitution has not been given much attention. The most frequently used procedure was to adopt the elasticities from the literature, which meant using elasticities that had been estimated (or guessed) for a different country and often also for a different degree of data aggregation. However, recently, some authors have shown that the elasticities 1) vary more substantially over countries than had been expected and 2) are higher in more recent estimations than in those which have been published in the <u>1980s</u> and <u>1990s</u>. We thus shed light on the effect of this shift to the use of much higher elasticities on model results. We find that model results are extremely sensitive to large changes in Armington elasticities, important quantitative and even qualitative changes in simulation results occur in the vast majority of the models investigated.